

MEET DATE **1/28/2023**

MEET SITE **Spring Lake Park High School**

CLASS **AAA - VARSITY**

High Kick Category

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Judges Ranking Sheet - "B" RANK SYSTEM (5 or 6 judges)

Judges →		Judge 1		Judge 2		Judge 3		Judge 4		Judge 5		Judge 6		Add Together the Ranks MINUS 1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	TIE BREAKERS				Final Place
		Samantha A.		Julie B.		Tamara J.		Lindsey K.		Hayley W.					1st Tie Break		2nd Tie Break		
Judges Initials →		SA		JB		TJ		LK		HW				1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	Add in Worst & Best Ranks	New Total Rank	Add Points Minus High & Low	New Total Rank	
School		Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank						
1	Tartan	43	9	41	9	42	9	45	9	44	9			27					9
2	Woodbury	47	8	48	8	54	7	55	8	54	8			24					8
3	Spring Lake Park	74	5	68	4	74	3	68	4	74	3			11					4
4	Roseville	72	6	64	6.5	70	5	65	6	66	6			18					6
5	STMA	75	4	65	5	69	6	66	5	70	4			14					5
6	Maple Grove	86	1	83	2	93	1	81	1.5	78	2			4.5	7.5	1.5	250	1	1
7	Waconia	76	3	75	3	73	4	72	3	69	5			10					3
8	Hopkins	83	2	84	1	88	2	81	1.5	80	1			4.5	7.5	1.5	248	2	2
9	Armstrong	67	7	64	6.5	46	8	58	7	59	7			21					7
10																			
11																			
12																			
13																			
14																			
15																			
16																			
17																			
18																			
19																			
20																			
21																			
22																			
23																			
24																			

Superior Judge Signature: _____

Tabulation Signature: _____

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition

Point and Rank Summary

MEET DATE: 1/28/2023

MEET SITE: Spring Lake Park High School

CLASS: AAA - VARSITY

CATEGORY: High Kick Category

		Category Points Breakdown										Category Points Total			Rank		
Final Rank	School	Kicks		Choreography		Difficulty			Execution		Routine Eff.	SubTotal Points	(Deductions)	Total Points	Out of Total Points Possible	Rank Points	Final Rank
		Technique of Kicks	Kick Height	Creativity	Visual Effectiveness	Difficulty of Routine Choreography	Difficulty of Formations & Transitions	Difficulty of Kicks	Placement & Control	Degree of Accuracy	Routine Effectiveness						
1	Maple Grove	39	39	43	45	42	43	40	44	42	44	421		421	/ 500	4.5	1
2	Hopkins	41	40	42	45	42	42	41	40	39	44	416		416	/ 500	4.5	2
3	Waconia	36	36	36	39	36	36	36	36	36	38	365		365	/ 500	10	3
4	Spring Lake Park	36	35	35	39	36	37	35	34	34	37	358		358	/ 500	11	4
5	STMA	35	35	37	38	33	35	32	33	32	35	345		345	/ 500	14	5
6	Roseville	32	35	34	37	32	33	33	33	32	36	337		337	/ 500	18	6
7	Armstrong	32	31	31	31	31	31	31	29	29	33	309	-15	294	/ 500	21	7
8	Woodbury	24	26	26	28	25	26	26	25	24	28	258		258	/ 500	24	8
9	Tartan	20	21	22	21	23	21	24	20	19	24	215		215	/ 500	27	9
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			