

MEET DATE **12.10.22**

MEET SITE **Rushford Peterson Invite**

CLASS **Varsity**

Jazz Category

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Judges Ranking Sheet - "B" RANK SYSTEM (5 or 6 judges)

Judges →		Judge 1		Judge 2		Judge 3		Judge 4		Judge 5		Judge 6		Add Together the Ranks MINUS 1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	TIE BREAKERS				Final Place
		KP		MB		HG		AG		LP					1st Tie Break		2nd Tie Break		
Judges Initials →		KP		MB		HG		AG		LP				1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	Add in Worst & Best Ranks	New Total Rank	Add Points Minus High & Low Points	New Total Rank	
School		Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank						
1	Houston - V	55	4	56	1	50	3	52	5	43	1.5			10.5	16.5	3			3
2	Dover Eyota - V	49	7	44	7	38	8	33	8	39	4.5			22					7
3	Chatfield - V	59	2	46	5	55	2	45	7	43	1.5			9					1
4	Winona - V	54	3	52	3	51	4	57	1	42	3			10					2
5	LARP - V	56	3	51	4	59	1	53	4	38	6			11					5
6	PEM - V	61	1	45	6	52	3	54	3	39	4.5			10.5	17.5	4			4
7	GMLOS -V	48	8	42	8	44	7	47	6	35	8			23					8
8	Stewartville - V	50	6	53	2	49	6	56	2	37	7			14					6
9																			
10																			
11																			
12																			
13																			
14																			
15																			
16																			
17																			
18																			
19																			
20																			
21																			
22																			
23																			
24																			

Superior Judge Signature: _____

Tabulation Signature: _____

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Point and Rank Summary

MEET DATE: 12.10.22

MEET SITE: Rushford Peterson Invite

CLASS: Varsity

CATEGORY: Jazz Category

		Category Points Breakdown										Category Points Total			Rank		
Final Rank	School	Skills		Choreography		Difficulty			Execution		Routine Eff.	SubTotal Points	(Deductions)	Total Points	Out of Total Points Possible	Rank Points	Final Rank
		Technique of Turns	Technique of Leaps & Jumps	Creativity	Visual Effectiveness	Difficulty of Routine Choreography	Difficulty of Formations & Transitions	Difficulty of Skills	Placement & Control	Degree of Accuracy	Routine Effectiveness						
1	Chatfield - V	24	26	23	26	25	26	27	24	23	29	253	-5	248	/ 500	9	1
2	Winona - V	26	28	24	26	24	22	26	27	25	28	256		256	/ 500	10	2
3	Houston - V	26	26	27	29	24	25	26	23	23	27	256		256	/ 500	10.5	3
4	PEM - V	23	26	24	24	26	23	28	26	26	25	251		251	/ 500	10.5	4
5	LARP - V	22	25	29	28	28	27	28	22	22	26	257		257	/ 500	11	5
6	Stewartville - V	22	26	25	25	25	21	25	24	25	27	245		245	/ 500	14	6
7	Dover Eyota - V	16	20	22	22	22	22	23	19	17	20	203		203	/ 500	22	7
8	GMLOS -V	19	21	22	23	21	20	22	22	22	24	216		216	/ 500	23	8
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			