Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Final Placements

MEET DATE: 1/19/2021 **MEET SITE:** New Ulm High School

CLASS: AA **CATEGORY:** Jazz Category

Final Place	School	Ranking Point Total
1	Marshall	3
2	New Ulm	6

Superior Judge Signature _____

Tabulator Signature _____

MEET DATE	1/19/2021	MEET SITE	New Ulm High School	CLASS	AA	Jazz Category

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Judges Ranking Sheet - "B" RANK SYSTEM (5 or 6 judges)

		Judge 1		Judge 2		Judge 3		Judge 4		Judge 5		Judge 6		Add Together the Ranks MINUS 1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	TIE BREAKERS				Final Place
	Judges →	Stacy Abel		Tiffany Landkammer		Molly Lanz		Elysia Peterson		Ella Strother					1st Tie Break		2nd Tie Break		
	Judges Initials →	SA		TL		ML		EP		ES					Add in Worst & Best Ranks	New Total Rank	Add Points Minus High & Low Points	New Total Rank	
	School	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank						
1	New Ulm	46	2	48	2	49	2	46	2	44	2			6					2
2	Marshall	60	1	61	1	59	1	65	1	63	1			3					1
3																			
4																			
5																			
6																			
7																			
8																			
9																			
10																			
11																			
12																			
13																			
14																			
15																			
16																			
17																			
18																			
19																			
20																			
21																			
22																			
23																			
24																			

Superior Judge Signature: _____   Tabulation Signature: _____

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Point and Rank Summary

MEET DATE: 1/19/2021   **MEET SITE:** New Ulm High School

CLASS: AA   **CATEGORY:** Jazz Category

| | | Category Points Breakdown | | | | | | | | | | Category Points Total | | | | Rank | |
| | | Skills | | Choreography | | Difficulty | | | Execution | | Routine Eff. | | | | | | |
Final Rank	School	Technique of Turns	Technique of Leaps & Jumps	Creativity	Visual Effectiveness	Difficulty of Routine Choreography	Difficulty of Formations & Transitions	Difficulty of Skills	Placement & Control	Degree of Accuracy	Routine Effectiveness	SubTotal Points	(Deductions)	Total Points	Out of Total Points Possible	Rank Points	Final Rank
1	Marshall	30	30	31	31	31	30	35	30	29	31	308		308	/ 500	3	1
2	New Ulm	21	23	24	25	24	23	23	24	22	24	233		233	/ 500	6	2
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		