

MEET DATE **12/18/2021**

MEET SITE **LAKE CITY INVITATIONAL**

CLASS **V**

High Kick Category

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Judges Ranking Sheet - "B" RANK SYSTEM (5 or 6 judges)

Judges →	Judge 1		Judge 2		Judge 3		Judge 4		Judge 5		Judge 6		Add Together the Ranks MINUS 1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	TIE BREAKERS				Final Place
	KB		SK		AP		EP		DB					1st Tie Break		2nd Tie Break		
Judges Initials →	KB		SK		AP		EP		DB				1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	Add in Worst & Best Ranks	New Total Rank	Add Points Minus High & Low Points	New Total Rank	
School	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank						
1	WABASHA-KELLOGG	49	3	48	8	45	7.5	54	6	56	4			21.5				8
2	CHATFIELD	53	6	51	5	51	5	58	4	55	5			15				4
3	KINGSLAND	37	10	41	10	39	10	36	10	42	10			30				10
4	CANNON FALLS	59	3	62	1.5	59	4	66	3	59	2			8				3
5	LEWISTON-ALTURA	54	5	52	4	49	6	50	8	52	6			17				6
6	ZUMBROTA-MAZEPPA	64	2	59	3	67	2	68	2	60	1			6				2
7	HOUSTON	41	9	45	9	44	9	44	9	43	9			27				9
8	PEM	52	7	50	6	45	7.5	51	7	51	7			21				7
9	LAKE CITY	65	1	62	1.5	68	1	69	1	58	3			3.5				1
10	GOODHUE	55	4	49	7	62	3	55	5	50	8			16				5
11																		
12																		
13																		
14																		
15																		
16																		
17																		
18																		
19																		
20																		
21																		
22																		
23																		
24																		

Superior Judge Signature: _____

Tabulation Signature: _____

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Point and Rank Summary

MEET DATE: 12/18/2021

MEET SITE: LAKE CITY INVITATIONAL

CLASS: V

CATEGORY: High Kick Category

		Category Points Breakdown										Category Points Total			Rank		
Final Rank	School	Kicks		Choreography		Difficulty			Execution		Routine Eff.	SubTotal Points	(Deductions)	Total Points	Out of Total Points Possible	Rank Points	Final Rank
		Technique of Kicks	Kick Height	Creativity	Visual Effectiveness	Difficulty of Routine Choreography	Difficulty of Formations & Transitions	Difficulty of Kicks	Placement & Control	Degree of Accuracy	Routine Effectiveness						
1	LAKE CITY	31	30	33	34	33	35	32	31	31	32	322		322	/ 500	3.5	1
2	ZUMBROTA-MAZEPPA	32	33	32	31	29	31	32	32	33	33	318		318	/ 500	6	2
3	CANNON FALLS	29	33	31	30	28	30	31	30	31	32	305		305	/ 500	8	3
4	CHATFIELD	26	26	28	26	25	26	27	27	28	29	268		268	/ 500	15	4
5	GOODHUE	27	28	27	26	26	27	27	28	28	27	271		271	/ 500	16	5
6	LEWISTON-ALTURA	25	26	28	28	25	26	26	23	25	25	257		257	/ 500	17	6
7	PEM	22	24	29	27	27	25	27	21	22	25	249		249	/ 500	21	7
8	WABASHA-KELLOGG	22	24	28	28	25	26	27	24	23	25	252		252	/ 500	21.5	8
9	HOUSTON	21	21	23	23	22	22	21	21	22	21	217		217	/ 500	27	9
10	KINGSLAND	20	19	19	20	19	18	21	21	19	19	195		195	/ 500	30	10
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			