

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Judges Ranking Sheet - "B" RANK SYSTEM (5 or 6 judges)

Judges →		Judge 1		Judge 2		Judge 3		Judge 4		Judge 5		Judge 6		Add Together the Ranks MINUS 1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	TIE BREAKERS				Final Place
		BT		JM		EP		RP		EY					1st Tie Break		2nd Tie Break		
Judges Initials →		BT		JM		EP		RP		EY				1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	Add in Worst & Best Ranks	New Total Rank	Add Points Minus High & Low Points	New Total Rank	
School		Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank						
1	Winona	56	11	53	10	50	9	46	9	53	8			28	47	10			10
2	Aitkin	57	10	52	11	46	10.5	52	7.5	55	7			28	46	9			9
3	Hermantown Procter	53	12	49	12	45	12	44	10.5	43	12			36					12
4	Duluth Denfeld	70	4	64	3	58	6	62	5	58	6			15					5
5	Albert Lea	71	3	63	4	69	3	64	4	63	4			11					3
6	North Branch	59	9	55	7.5	46	10.5	44	10.5	52	9			28.5					11
7	Cretin Derham Hall	65	6	59	6	62	5	61	6	61	5			17					6
8	New Prague	77	1	70	1	75	1	77	1	74	1			3					1
9	Willmar	61	8	54	9	52	8	40	12	49	10			27					8
10	Chisago Lakes	73	2	65	2	71	2	70	2	64	3			6					2
11	Big Lake	62	7	55	7.5	54	7	52	7.5	47	11			22					7
12	Lake City	69	5	62	5	66	4	65	3	70	2			12					4
13																			
14																			
15																			
16																			
17																			
18																			
19																			
20																			
21																			
22																			
23																			
24																			

Superior Judge Signature: _____

Tabulation Signature: _____

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Point and Rank Summary

MEET DATE: 1.29.22

MEET SITE: Mounds View High School

CLASS: A/AA V

CATEGORY: High Kick Category

		Category Points Breakdown										Category Points Total			Rank		
Final Rank	School	Kicks		Choreography		Difficulty			Execution		Routine Eff.	SubTotal Points	(Deductions)	Total Points	Out of Total Points Possible	Rank Points	Final Rank
		Technique of Kicks	Kick Height	Creativity	Visual Effectiveness	Difficulty of Routine Choreography	Difficulty of Formations & Transitions	Difficulty of Kicks	Placement & Control	Degree of Accuracy	Routine Effectiveness						
1	New Prague	36	37	38	38	35	39	36	37	37	40	373		373	/ 500	3	1
2	Chisago Lakes	32	33	34	37	33	33	35	34	33	39	343		343	/ 500	6	2
3	Albert Lea	30	33	35	37	33	34	33	31	31	33	330		330	/ 500	11	3
4	Lake City	31	30	34	39	33	34	36	31	29	35	332		332	/ 500	12	4
5	Duluth Denfeld	32	31	31	31	30	31	32	32	31	31	312		312	/ 500	15	5
6	Cretin Derham Hall	31	29	33	30	34	28	31	31	31	30	308		308	/ 500	17	6
7	Big Lake	24	25	28	31	27	29	27	24	25	30	270		270	/ 500	22	7
8	Willmar	25	26	25	23	26	22	28	27	27	27	256		256	/ 500	27	8
9	Aitkin	25	28	27	27	23	24	34	24	24	26	262		262	/ 500	28	9
10	Winona	22	23	27	29	24	28	30	23	24	28	258		258	/ 500	28	10
11	North Branch	23	24	29	27	26	25	25	25	25	27	256		256	/ 500	28.5	11
12	Hermantown Procter	23	21	25	25	24	23	23	24	22	24	234		234	/ 500	36	12
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			