

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Final Placements

MEET DATE: 1/29/2022 MEET SITE: Spring Lake Park

CLASS: AA JV CATEGORY: High Kick Category

Final Place	School	Ranking Point Total
1	Two Rivers	3
2	Austin	6

Superior Judge Signature _____

Tabulator Signature _____

MEET DATE **1/29/2022**

MEET SITE **Spring Lake Park**

CLASS **AA JV**

High Kick Category

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Judges Ranking Sheet - "B" RANK SYSTEM (5 or 6 judges)

Judges →		Judge 1		Judge 2		Judge 3		Judge 4		Judge 5		Judge 6		Add Together the Ranks MINUS 1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	TIE BREAKERS				Final Place
		AIH		RD		AmH		KH		EL					1st Tie Break		2nd Tie Break		
Judges Initials →		AIH		RD		AmH		KH		EL				Add in Worst & Best Ranks	New Total Rank	Add Points Minus High & Low Points	New Total Rank		
School		Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank						
1	Austin	48	2	43	2	54	1	45	2	47	2			6					2
2	Two Rivers	55	1	49	1	51	2	50	1	50	1			3					1
3																			
4																			
5																			
6																			
7																			
8																			
9																			
10																			
11																			
12																			
13																			
14																			
15																			
16																			
17																			
18																			
19																			
20																			
21																			
22																			
23																			
24																			

Superior Judge Signature: _____

Tabulation Signature: _____

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Point and Rank Summary

MEET DATE: 1/29/2022

MEET SITE: Spring Lake Park

CLASS: AA JV

CATEGORY: High Kick Category

Final Rank	School	Category Points Breakdown										Category Points Total			Rank		
		Kicks		Choreography		Difficulty			Execution		Routine Eff.	SubTotal Points	(Deductions)	Total Points	Out of Total Points Possible	Rank Points	Final Rank
Technique of Kicks	Kick Height	Creativity	Visual Effectiveness	Difficulty of Routine Choreography	Difficulty of Formations & Transitions	Difficulty of Kicks	Placement & Control	Degree of Accuracy	Routine Effectiveness								
1	Two Rivers	25	26	23	26	26	25	26	25	26	27	255		255	/ 500	3	1
2	Austin	22	24	23	24	22	22	24	25	24	27	237		237	/ 500	6	2
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			
														/			