Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition Final Placements

MEET DATE:	1.8.22	MEET SITE:	I wo Rivers	
CLASS:	AA JV	CATEGORY:	High Kick Category	
Final Place		School		Ranking Point Total
1	Two Rivers			3
2	Zimmerman			7
3	Hutchinson			8
4	Richfield			12
Superior Judge S	ignature			
Tabulator Signatu	ure			

MEET DATE	1.8.22	MEET SITE	Two Rivers	CLASS	AA JV	High Kick Category

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition

Judges Ranking Sheet - "B" RANK SYSTEM (5 or 6 judges)

	Jud	ge 1	Jud	ge 2	Jud	ge 3	Jud	ge 4	Jud	ge 5	Jud	ge 6	Add		TIE BE	REAKERS	S	Final
Judges →	Е	3T	R	?G	к	Ή	L	K	N	0			Together the Ranks MINUS	1st Tie	Break	2nd Ti	e Break	Place
Judges Initials →	Е	BT	F	?G	K	Ή	L	K	N	0				Add in Worst &	New	Points Minus	New	
School	Points	Rank	1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	Best Ranks	Total Rank	High & Low Points	Total Rank											
1 Hutchinson	58	/	50	3	38	3	49	3	46	2			8					3
2 Richfield	39	4	31	4	30	4	35	4	27	4			12					4
3 Zimmerman	50	3	55	12	46	2	52	2	44	3			7					2
4 Two Rivers	55	/2	56		52	1	54	1	49	1			3					1
5																		
6																		
7																		
8																		
9																		
10																		
11																		
12																		
13																		
14																		
15																		
16																		
17																		
18																		
19																		
20																		
21																		
22																		
23																		
24																		

Superior Judge Signature:	 Tabulation Signature: _	

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition Point and Rank Summary

MEET DATE:	1.8.22	MEET SITE:	Two Rivers
CLASS:	AA JV	CATEGORY:	High Kick Category

		Category Points Breakdown								Category Points Total					Rank		
		Kid	cks	Choreo	graphy		Difficulty		Exec	ution	Routine Eff.						
Final Rank	School	Technique of Kicks	Kick Height	Creativity	Visual Effectiveness	Difficulty of Routine Choreography	Difficulty of Formations & Transitions	Difficulty of Kicks	Placement & Control	Degree of Accuracy	Routine Effectiveness	SubTotal Points	(Deductions)	Total Points	Out of Total Points Possible	Rank Points	Final Rank
1	Two Rivers	26	24	26	29	29	25	28	27	25	27	266		266	/ 500	3	1
2	Zimmerman	22	23	27	27	26	24	27	23	22	26	247		247	/ 500	7	2
3	Hutchinson	25	24	23	27	25	23	24	23	22	25	241		241	/ 500	8	3
4	Richfield	17	17	20	19	21	16	19	18	19	21	187	-25	162	/ 500	12	4
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															/		
															1		
															1		
															1		
															1		
															1		