

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Final Placements

MEET DATE: 1.8.22 MEET SITE: Two Rivers

CLASS: AA V CATEGORY: High Kick Category

Final Place	School	Ranking Point Total
1	Two Rivers	4
2	Hutchinson	5
3	Zimmerman	9
4	Richfield	12
5	Concordia	15

Superior Judge Signature _____

Tabulator Signature _____

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition
Judges Ranking Sheet - "B" RANK SYSTEM (5 or 6 judges)

Judges →		Judge 1		Judge 2		Judge 3		Judge 4		Judge 5		Judge 6		Add Together the Ranks MINUS 1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	TIE BREAKERS				Final Place
		SO		LB		AH		TL		JM					1st Tie Break		2nd Tie Break		
Judges Initials →		SO		LB		AH		TL		JM				1 Worst Rank & 1 Best Rank	Add in Worst & Best Ranks	New Total Rank	Add Points Minus High & Low Points	New Total Rank	
School		Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank	Points	Rank						
1	Zimmerman	67	3	66	3	66	3	70	3	70	2			9					3
2	Concordia	28	5	35	5	29	5	28	5	28	5			15					5
3	Two Rivers	69	1	68	1	73	2	72	2	72	1			4					1
4	Hutchinson	68	2	67	2	74	1	74	1	69	3			5					2
5	Richfield	42	4	51	4	50	4	47	4	51	4			12					4
6																			
7																			
8																			
9																			
10																			
11																			
12																			
13																			
14																			
15																			
16																			
17																			
18																			
19																			
20																			
21																			
22																			
23																			
24																			

Superior Judge Signature: _____

Tabulation Signature: _____

Minnesota State High School League Dance Team Competition

Point and Rank Summary

MEET DATE: 1.8.22

MEET SITE: Two Rivers

CLASS: AA V

CATEGORY: High Kick Category

		Category Points Breakdown										Category Points Total			Rank	
		Kicks		Choreography		Difficulty			Execution		Routine Eff.	SubTotal Points	(Deductions)	Total Points	Out of Total Points Possible	Rank Points
Final Rank	School	Technique of Kicks	Kick Height	Creativity	Visual Effectiveness	Difficulty of Routine Choreography	Difficulty of Formations & Transitions	Difficulty of Kicks	Placement & Control	Degree of Accuracy	Routine Effectiveness					
1	Two Rivers	35	35	35	36	33	35	37	37	35	36	354		354 / 500	4	1
2	Hutchinson	36	35	35	37	34	35	33	36	35	36	352		352 / 500	5	2
3	Zimmerman	35	35	32	34	33	32	33	35	34	36	339		339 / 500	9	3
4	Richfield	22	23	24	24	24	23	26	23	25	27	241		241 / 500	12	4
5	Concordia	17	15	21	19	20	19	20	17	18	22	188	-40	148 / 500	15	5
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		
														/		